Approximate Topological Matching of Quadrilateral Meshes

David Eppstein* University of California, Irvine Michael T. Goodrich[†] University of California, Irvine Ethan Kim[‡] McGill University Rasmus Tamstorf[§] Walt Disney Animation Studios

ABSTRACT

We study approximate topological matching of quadrilateral meshes, that is, the problem of finding as large a set as possible of matching portions of two quadrilateral meshes. This study is motivated by applications in graphics that involve shape modeling whose results need to be merged in order to produce a final unified representation of an object. We show that the problem of producing a maximum approximate topological match of two quad meshes in NP-hard. Given this result, which makes an exact solution extremely unlikely, we show that the natural greedy algorithm derived from polynomial-time graph isomorphism can produce poor results, even when it is possible to find matches with only a few non-matching quads. Nevertheless, we provide a "lazy-greedy" algorithm that is guaranteed to find good matches when mis-matching portions of mesh are localized. Finally, we provide empirical evidence that this approach produces good matches between similar quad meshes.

Index Terms: F.2.2 [Analysis of Algorithms and Problem Complexity]: Nonnumerical Algorithms and Problems—Geometrical problems and computations; I.3.5 [Computer Graphics]: Computational Geometry and Object Modeling—Boundary representations

1 INTRODUCTION

Quadrilateral meshes represent polyhedral surfaces in such a way that each face is a quadrilateral, which is quite useful in computer graphics applications. The usefulness of these meshes comes in part from the fact that rectangular texture images are easily mapped without clipping into quadrilaterals (which we sometimes refer to as "quads"). Thus, quad meshes are desirable for surface modeling.

Quad meshes are also useful in finite element analysis, where one desires adaptive refinement into regions of interest, since quadrilaterals are easily partitioned into finer quadrilateral grids. Indeed, several mesh generation algorithms, such as that of Schonfeld and Weinerfelt (31) and others (1; 26; 34), begin with a coarse quadrilateral mesh and then refine each quad of interest into a regular grid of sub-quads.

In order to best utilize the time of people working with a given quad mesh, it is common for a single mesh to be used in a number of different processing paths in parallel. For example, a single mesh might be processed simultaneously for texture mapping, feature mapping, finite-element analysis for physical simulation purposes, and morphing for object animation. Unfortunately, the software systems that perform the multiple simultaneous tasks on a given mesh M often use different internal representations of M, which in turn result in different representations of M in the output of each task. For example, different mesh processing software systems could be developed by different vendors, each with its own proprietary way of storing of meshes. More importantly, many of the computational tasks performed on meshes are likely to slightly change their structure in places. For example, a physical simulation or animation task may introduce a "rip" in a surface or a modeler may detach a model feature (such as a hand or eyebrow), modify it to reflect a new pose, and re-attach it to the original model. In addition, models that possess significant symmetries, such as biological characters and architectural models, often have their texturing and feature mapping tasks performed on a single portion with the desire that this work be mapped to the symmetric portion(s), even if there are a few localized asymmetries to deal with during this mapping process.

For the above applications, we are interested in matching pairs of quad meshes in a way that is as independent of geometric features as possible. That is, we would like matches that align the topological structure between two given quad meshes as best as possible, matching the orientations of each paired-up vertex and quad in the two meshes. Therefore, we are interested in this paper in the *approximate topological matching* problem, which takes two given quad meshes and finds the best matching between them based on topological information alone. (See Figure 1.)



Figure 1: The Approximate Topological Matching Problem. Quads in (dark) red denote mismatched regions. In spite of there being major pieces of the first mesh missing from the second, the parts of similarly are still matched (the two right hands actually don't match topologically, as the hand of the second model is attached differently).

1.1 Prior Related Work

Before presenting our results, let us review prior related work on quad meshes and mesh matching.

^{*}http://www.ics.uci.edu/~eppstein

[†]http://www.ics.uci.edu/~goodrich

[‡]ethan@cs.mcgill.ca

[§]Rasmus.Tamstorf@disney.com

1.1.1 Quad Mesh Generation

This is not a paper on mesh generation, but let us nevertheless mention some of the modeling approaches that give rise to quad meshes. There are several quad mesh generation methods that involve starting from a coarse quad mesh and subdividing each coarse quad into a finer structured mesh (1; 26; 31; 34). Of course, there are also methods that do not create structured submeshes as a refinement step (4; 6; 8; 28; 32). Although this is not a paper on mesh generation, we note that the mesh generation process often provides us with properties we can exploit in our algorithms. For example, many mesh generation algorithms create large patches of structured submeshes, each having degree 4. Such submeshes are still relatively common, of course, even if not directly constructed, since, by an easy argument that follows directly from Euler's formula, the vertices in any quad mesh of bounded genus have average degree equal to 4.

1.1.2 Mesh Compression

One tool we use in our approximate topological matching algorithm is to compress the original quad mesh so as to reduce the number of candidate starting points for our matching process. The problem of mesh compression has historically been studied in the classic sense of data compression, where one wishes to produce a concise representation of a mesh for the sake of reduced transmission and storage costs (9; 18; 20; 21; 35; 36). Our reason for using mesh compression instead is based on the desire to speed up the computation time in an algorithm that operates on quad meshes. Thus, our approach actually fits the spirit of other algorithms (e.g., see (14; 15; 33; 38)) that perform data compression so as to improve algorithmic performance.

1.1.3 Graph Isomorphism

In the classic graph isomorphism problem, we are given a graph G = (V, E) and a graph H = (W, F), with |V| = |W| and |E| = |F|, and we are asked if there is a mapping $f : V \longrightarrow W$ such that edge e = (v, w) is an edge in E if and only if (f(v), f(w)) is an edge in F. Applied to the mesh matching problem, graph isomorphism corresponds to the problem of finding an exact topological match, with no edges, vertices, or faces unmatched between the two input meshes. Much work has been done on the graph isomorphism problem for general classes of graphs (e.g., see (10; 17; 24)), for which it is not known whether graph isomorphism can be solved in polynomial time or if the graph isomorphism problem is NP-complete. For the special case of planar graphs, or more generally for models of bounded genus, isomorphism can be solved in linear time (19; 23), but these algorithms are fairly complex.

The problem of solving graph isomorphism for meshes can be solved in polynomial time, even for models of high genus. The reason is that, in addition to their graph structure, meshes possess structure derived from the fact that they are embedded in manifold surfaces. In particular, such embeddings impose a specific, given ordering on the edges around each vertex, v, which corresponds to a clockwise or counter-clockwise listing of the edges incident to v. Thus, given a mapping of an edge in G to an edge in H, it is a simple matter to "grow out" the respective corresponding matching between G and H so long as such matches are possible. That is, there is a simple $O(m^2)$ algorithm for finding an exact topological match between two *m*-edge meshes (or determining that no such matching exists)-attempt to grow out a possible match between G and H starting from each possible matching of edges in G to a given edge e in H. In practical applications, such algorithms are still too slow, however, and we address practical algorithms for the graph isomorphism problem on quad meshes in a different paper (which is currently under submission elsewhere). Our approach in this other paper is based on a technique that is inappropriate for solving the approximate topological matching problem.

1.1.4 Graph Edit Distance

The approximate topological matching problem is related to the problem of computing the edit distance between two graphs (5; 7; 13; 27). The concept of graph edit distance was first introduced by Eshera and Fu (13). It involves the computation of a measure of similarity between two graphs based on the minimum number of edit operations, such as edge insertions/deletions and vertex insertions/deletions, that would be needed to convert one graph into another, which is a problem shown to be NP-hard. Subsequent work has focused on heuristic methods for computing graph edit distance. For example, Berretti *et al.* (5) describe a distance metric on graphs and apply it to content retrieval for color images, and Neuhaus and Bunke (27) use automatic learning methods to compute the functions for graph edit distance.

The approximate topological matching is not the same as graph edit distance, however. Graph edit distance is concerned with minimizing the number of edit operations to convert one graph to another, whereas approximate topological matching is concerned with maximizing the amount of common matching quads between two quad meshes, even if there are large portions of one of the two meshes that might not match with any part of the other. Thus, the approximate topological matching problem is an adaptation of the maximum common subgraph problem (22) to quad meshes, where we wish to match oriented quad faces as well as vertices and edges. The distinction between edit distance and approximate topological matching is important in object modeling applications, for instance, where one mesh could be an early version of a model, such as a character's face, before new facial features are added, such as eyebrows, eye lashes, and warts, and we want to match the earlier version as best as possible against the current version. In such cases it is better to ignore the mismatching parts rather than weigh them negatively in an edit distance value.

1.2 Our Results

In this paper, we study the approximate topological matching problem for quadrilateral meshes. We show that this problem is NPhard, implying that it is very unlikely that there is a polynomial-time algorithm for approximate topological matching. Thus, we develop a heuristic algorithm for approximate topological mesh matching, based on the use of a skeleton graph that is a well-defined, robust subgraph of the mesh. This skeleton is then used to identify anchors to begin a matching process similar to the way the medial axis is visualized for a polygon (e.g., see (11; 29)). If we imagine that the plane is made of a combustible material and we start a fire starting from each boundary edge of the polygon, then the medial axis is defined by the places where two waves of fire meet. In our case, we apply this approach to quad meshes, viewing the quads as "combustible material" and the anchors as the starting points for our fires. We grow regions in the two input meshes simultaneously, matching similar topological substructures as we go.

Such a fire-propagation approach to matching the two input meshes has an unfortunate complication, however. Namely, if we use the natural greedy approach to propagate matching portions of the wavefront, then this process can lead to poor matches. In particular, we show that even if one small portion of one mesh is shrunk relative to a portion in the other, this greedy algorithm can produce globally poor matches. Nevertheless, we show that our fire-growing approach to approximate matching can be made to work effectively even in these cases, by growing the wavefronts in a "lazy-greedy" fashion. In addition, we provide experimental results that show that the lazy-greedy algorithm can produce good matches quickly in practice.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Before we discuss our results, let us give some preliminary definitions and observations about quadrilateral meshes.

2.1 The Topology of Quad Meshes

Any graph drawn on the sphere S_0 in three-dimensional space partitions the surface of S_0 into cells such that each is homeomorphic to a disk (we will always assume in this paper that graphs are drawn without edge crossings). Each such cell is called a *face* in the embedding. Adding g "handles" to S_0 gives the surface S_g , which is said to have *genus g*. For example, a traditional coffee cup is of genus 1. Likewise, the dog toy shown in Figure 2 has genus 16.



Figure 2: A dog toy, which has genus 16.

A cellular embedding of a graph on S_g is a drawing that partitions S_g into cells such that each is homeomorphic to a disk. We define a quadrilateral mesh to be a cellular embedding of a graph G = (V, E) onto a surface S_g such that each face is a quadrilateral. We say that the genus of the mesh is g in this case. We therefore view a quad mesh as a triple (V, E, Q) where V is a set of vertices, E is a set of edges, and Q is a set of quadrilaterals. In addition, we assume that quad meshes are represented with a data structure, like the "winged edge" structure (3), that supports the following operations:

- List the incident edges around a given vertex (in clockwise or counter-clockwise order) in time proportional to the degree of that vertex.
- List the bounding edges around a given face (in clockwise or counter-clockwise order) in time proportional to the size of that face.
- List the two vertices that are the endpoints of a given edge in constant time.

The simplest form of quad mesh is a *structured mesh*, where every vertex has degree four. The average degree of vertices in a boundedgenus quad mesh is 4, and it is common for the majority of vertices in a quad mesh to have degree 4. For this reason, if a vertex in a quad mesh is an interior vertex with degree different than 4, or an exterior (boundary) vertex with degree different than 3, then we refer to that vertex as an *extraordinary* vertex.

2.2 The Size of a Quad Mesh

Traditionally, an algorithm operating on a graph G is characterized in terms of n = |V|, the number of vertices of G, and m = |E|, the number of edges in G. We have these measures in quad mesh algorithms as well, but we also have q = |Q|, the number of quads, so it is useful to relate these quantities.

Observation 1 In a quad mesh with m edges and q faces, $2q \le m \le 4q$.

Proof: If we sum up the number of edges on every face we will count each edge at least once and at most twice. Since each face is a quadrilateral, $4q \le 2m$ and $m \le 4q$.

Observation 2 *The number of edges and faces in a quad mesh can be arbitrarily larger than the number of vertices.*

Proof: We can place two vertices at opposite poles of a sphere and add as many edges as we like joining them. Now remove the sphere and enlarge each edge to be a thin tube. Next, take each original vertex and split into two points separated by the width of a tube, with one on top and one on the bottom. This creates a shape similar to the dog toy shown in Figure 2. Now, for each tube, run an edge between the two top vertices, an edge between the two bottom vertices, and an edge joining top to bottom at each pole. This creates a quad mesh with four vertices and an arbitrary number of faces and edges.

Although it is not uncommon in the solid modeling literature to allow for such multiple edges and even self loops in the graph defined by a quadrilateral mesh, we will restrict ourselves in this paper to *simple* meshes, where there disallow multiple edges between the same pair of vertices and we disallow self loops. Likewise, we disallow multiple edges and self loops in the *dual graph*, which is formed by placing a vertex in each quad and joining two quads Qand R with an edge any time Q and R have an edge of the mesh in common. Likewise, we require that the mesh be *well-formed*, meaning that it satisfy the following:

- 1. For each vertex v, the set of quads containing v is connected in the dual graph.
- 2. The *boundary* of a quadrilateral mesh M consists of all edges of E that belong to exactly one quadrilateral in M and all vertices incident to an edge of this type. For every cyclic portion C of the boundary of M on S_g (that is, a "hole" in the mesh), the interior of C is homeomorphic to a disk. That is, each hole in M is *contractible*.
- 3. Every edge in *M* is adjacent to at least one and at most two quadrilateral faces of *M*.
- 4. Any two quadrilaterals in Q intersect in a single edge, a single vertex, or the empty set.

Observation 3 In a simple, connected, well-formed quadrilateral mesh M of genus g, with n vertices and m edges,

$$m \le 2n + 4g - 4.$$

Proof: Since M is a simple, cellularly-embedded graph in S_g , the Euler characteristic implies that

$$n - m + q = 2 - 2g.$$

Specifically, the Euler characteristic (which is also called "Euler's formula" or the "Euler-Poincaré characteristic") states that, in such embeddings, the number of vertices minus the number of edges plus the number of faces is equal to 2 - 2g. That is, in our case, m = n+q+2g-2. By Observation 1, $q \le m/2$. Thus, $m \le 2n+4g-4$.

In almost all practical applications of quadrilateral meshes, the genus g of a given mesh is bounded by constant and it is almost certainly O(n). In fact, g is typically 0 or 1. Thus, the above lemma implies that in almost every practical application using a mesh M with n vertices and m edges, m is O(n). Thus, for example, the time complexity of the simple wave-growing exact graph isomorphism algorithm on such meshes is $O(n^2)$. We also have the following.

Lemma 4 In a simple, connected, well-formed quadrilateral mesh *M* of genus *g*, with *n* vertices and *m* edges,

$$m \le (\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}g} + 2)n - 4.$$

Proof: The proof follows that of a similar lemma of Wood and Telle (37), but is adapted to quad meshes. From Observation 3, we know that

$$m \le 2n + 4g - 4$$

So we need to show that $4g \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}g}\right)n$. That is, we need to show $g \leq n^2/12$. This follows from the fact that M is simple and the complete graph on n vertices, K_n , has genus at most $n^2/12$ (e.g., see (25)).

3 ON THE DIFFICULTY OF APPROXIMATE TOPOLOGICAL MATCHING

As mentioned above, the problem of finding a best approximate topological match between two quad meshes has several uses in object representation and rendering applications. Unfortunately, as we show in this section, the problem of finding an optimal approximate topological match is NP-hard.

In order to be precise, let us formalize the approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes. Suppose we are given two quadrilateral meshes, M_1 and M_2 . A matching submesh S_1 of M_1 , with respect to M_2 , is a connected set of quads in M_1 that is mapped one-to-one to a connected set of quads in M_2 by a function μ that maps quads in S_1 to quads in M_2 such that q_1 and q_2 are adjacent in S_1 if and only if $\mu(q_1)$ and $\mu(q_2)$ are adjacent in M_2 (using adjacency across edge boundaries). The approximate topological matching problem is to find a matching submesh S_1 of M_1 , with respect to M_2 , and corresponding mapping function μ , such that S_1 has the largest number of quads over all such submeshes. Unfortunately, we have the following.

Theorem 5 The approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes is NP-hard.

Proof: We will give a reduction from the known NP-complete problem of determining if a given cubic planar graph is Hamiltonian (16). Suppose then that we are given an *n*-vertex cubic planar graph G as input, that is, a graph G that has degree 3 and which can be drawn in the plane without crossings. Our proof is based on showing that we can construct two meshes M_1 and M_2 in polynomial time such that all but n quads of M_1 can be matched with part of M_2 if and only if G is Hamiltonian, that is, G contains as a cycle that visits each vertex in G exactly once. We begin our construction by using any existing polynomial-time method (e.g., see (2; 12; 30)) to produce an embedding of G in an $O(n) \times O(n)$ integer grid, where n is the number of vertices in G. This embedding allows us to associate integer coordinates in the plane to the vertices of G, from which we will build a quad mesh, M_2 . Before we perform this construction, however, let us first build the mesh M_1 that we want to match completely to M_2 .

In particular, let C be a simple cycle with n vertices, say, embedded at regular intervals around the boundary of a sufficiently large circle in the plane (separate from the grid G is embedded in). We construct a mesh M_1 from C by expanding each edge of C into a connected sequence of four quads, linked in a "chain," and expanding each vertex of C according to the replacement submesh of four quads shown in Figure 3a. That is, if we have an edge (u, v) in Cconnecting u to v that is followed by an edge (v, w), we replace (u, v) by a chain of four quads that connect to v's four quads as in the lower-right part of Figure 3a and we have the chain of four quads for (v, w) connect as in the upper-left part of Figure 3a.

We convert G into a second quad mesh M_2 by expanding each edge into a chain of four quads, as in our construction of M_1 , but we connect them to vertices in a different way. Specifically, each vertex in G has degree 3, so we instead connect each edge chain of four quads according to the replacement mesh shown in Figure 3b.



Figure 3: Gadgets used to prove that approximate topological matching is NP-hard: (a) the vertex replacement submesh for C, (b) the vertex replacement submesh for G.

The dashed lines in the figure show all the possible ways that a maximum number of quads in a vertex submesh from M_1 can match a maximum number of quads in the vertex submesh in M_2 . Namely, a vertex submesh of 4 quads in M_1 can match at most 3 quads in a vertex submesh M_2 . That is, all but one of the quads in a vertex submesh of M_1 can match in a vertex submesh of M_2 . Also, note that the same cannot be said of the submeshes of M_1 associated with edges of C. Namely, note that since each edge in C is expanded into a connected chain of 4 quads, the quads in an edge submesh of M_1 can match at most 2 quads in a vertex submesh of M_2 . In other words, to get the largest number of matching quads between M_1 and M_2 , we need to match vertex submeshes in M_1 to vertex submeshes in M_2 .

So we have yet to show that G is Hamiltonian if and only if all but n of the quads in M_1 can be matched with quads in M_2 . Suppose that G is Hamiltonian. That is, C is a subgraph of G; hence, we can match each vertex submesh of M_1 with a vertex submesh of M_2 , using the cycle C as a guide on how to similarly match each edge submesh of M_1 with an edge submesh of M_2 , again, using the embedding of C in G as a guide. Then there is a topological match of M_1 in M_2 that pairs up all but n quads from M_1 , i.e., the number of matched quads between M_1 and M_2 is 7n.

Suppose, on the other hand, that there is a match of M_1 and M_2 that matches 7n quads, that is, all but n quads from M_1 . As we have noted above, the only way this can occur is if the edge submeshes of M_1 match edge submeshes of M_2 and 3 quads in each vertex submesh of M_1 match inside a vertex submesh of M_2 . Thus, C is a subgraph of G, that is, G is Hamiltonian. This completes the proof.

4 OUR ALGORITHM

Given that the approximate topological matching problem is NPhard, it is very unlikely that there is an efficient algorithm for solving it exactly. Thus, let us discuss a heuristic approach.

4.1 Identifying Anchors

Let us begin with the starting point for our heuristic algorithm—the identification of good anchors that can seed the process of matching pairs of quads in the input meshes M_1 and M_2 . That is, extraordinary vertices in M_1 and M_2 that have relatively distinctive neighborhoods. Note: if there are no extraordinary vertices at all (e.g., if M_1 and M_2 are tori), then we pick an arbitrary pair of vertices in M_1 and M_2 as anchors.

In order to find a good set of anchors in the general case, we apply a few iterations of the Weisfeiler and Leman (WL) algorithm for exact graph isomorphism (e.g., see (17)). Recall that in the WL algorithm, we initially label each vertex with a label associated with its degree¹. Then, each vertex is labeled by a string of its neighbors' labels in an order that appears in the topological embedding. In turning the cyclic ordering into a linear ordering, we pick the one that is lexicographically minimum. Then we let these strings be the new labels of the vertices (which we can re-normalize to be the integers from 1 to n with a simple radix sort). Algorithm 1 gives a pseudocode for this procedure, which views the vertex labels as "colors."

```
foreach vertex u \in M do

| tmpColor[u] = deg(u);

end

foreach u \in M do

| Color[u] = ();

foreach v \in neighbor(u) do

| Color[u] = Color[u] + tmpColor[v];

end

Color[u]= Lexicographical minimum ordering of

Color[u];

end
```

return the set of vertices with unique color label;

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for ColorGraph

Observe that the second loop in Algorithm 1 can be repeated to refine the color labels of vertices. This repetition should be done until a reasonable stopping condition is reached. For example, we used the stopping condition of repeating until we reach a set upper bound, k, on the number of iterations or until at least one pair of uniquely labeled vertices are found, one from each mesh. If we repeat this loop i times, the label of each vertex u will contain information about the vertices that are within distance i from u. The running time of this second loop is 2m = O(m), which is O(n) in the case of planar meshes or meshes of at most linear genus, by Observation 3.

The final step of the algorithm for identifying seeds to initiate mesh-matching growth from is that of finding corresponding anchors from the labeled compressed meshes. In order to find such seeds, we first match the rarest (hopefully unique) labeled vertices returned from the two compressed meshes, and then look for matching neighbors of the two matched vertices. Again, for most meshes, this process takes O(n) time in the worst case, by Observation 3 or Lemma 4.

4.2 Skeleton Graphs

As noted above, we are interested in finding rare or even uniquelylabeled anchors, so as to limit the number of possible candidate starting points for growing matching sets of quads between the two input meshes. Thus, it makes intuitive sense that we should concentrate on extraordinary vertices. In order to focus on the adjacencies between these vertices, we apply a compression scheme that focuses on extraordinary vertices and in most cases reduces the size of the graph we must deal with.

4.3 Particle Shooting

The idea for constructing this compressed *skeleton graph* inside each mesh is quite simple: we imagine that we shoot a particle out along every possible edge going out of each extraordinary vertex. These particles travel separately along the edges going out from extraordinary vertices. When a particle enters a normal vertex it continues through that vertex and leaves out the opposite side (unless this is a boundary vertex and there is no edge on the other side). In propagating these fictitious particles in this way we trace out a subgraph in each input mesh, M_1 and M_2 . We let the particles continue to move, tracing out the compressed graph we are going to use for color label assignment, until each such particle reaches another extraordinary vertex (which is a very common occurrence given the way that people build quad meshes in practice) or the particle reaches a boundary edge. We then perform our anchor-finding procedure on this skeleton graph. (See Figure 4.)



Figure 4: Anchor finding in a simple quad mesh, M_1 . This mesh has five extraordinary vertices, consisting of the four corners and the vertex marked "start." The vertex marked "start" is the best candidate for an anchor in this mesh, since the neighborhood structure of the other four extraordinary vertices are similar to each another. The edges traversed in the "particle-shooting" process are shown bold.

¹ Not only the degree of each vertex can serve as the seed for labels, we may also choose to use the edge weights in the compressed meshes. In order to do so, we can label each edge by number of edges contracted while compressing the meshes.

4.4 A False Start: The Greedy Algorithm

Given a set of *anchors* to begin our matching process, perhaps the most natural heuristic algorithm for solving the approximate topological matching problem is to start with a seed pair of matching quads, starting at some candidate anchors, and grow out matching meshes from this starting point.

The natural greedy algorithm based on this approach would be to grow out the matching set of quads in waves, adding as many quads as possible so as to satisfy the adjacency constraint for quads (e.g., by restricting our attention to quads that are adjacent across an edge or vertex). Unfortunately, this greedy approach suffers from a serious drawback, which is highlighted by a simple example.

Suppose we are given two similar meshes, M_1 and M_2 , as, for example, shown in Figures 4 and 5, such that M_2 is an exact match for M_1 except that some small group of quads in M_2 is compressed into a set of edges.



Figure 5: A candidate quad mesh, M_2 . Note that M_2 is an exact match for the quad mesh M_1 from 4 except that three quads in the middle are compressed into individual edges in M_2 .

Suppose further that we grow out sets of matching quads in M_1 and M_2 starting from some anchor point, using the greedy approach of matching as many quads as possible with each wavefront propagation. When this propagating wavefront reaches the set of compressed quads, it will correctly match long sets of quads on each side of the mis-matching portion. But it will also incorrectly match as many quads as possible across the compressed edges as well. Unfortunately, this sets off a cascading failure, as the wavefront that propagated across the compressed quads will be out of phase with the (correct) sets of quads that are being matched as they go around the compressed region. The cascade continues because the incorrectly-matched quads are being "grown" ahead of the correctly-matching quads. The correctly-matching quads never have a chance to "catch up" to this wave, however, and it can continue cascading across the entire mesh. This unfortunate growth pattern is illustrated for the meshes M_1 and M_2 of Figures 4 and 5 in Figure 6.

Thus, we do not recommend that the greedy algorithm be used as a heuristic for solving the approximate topological matching problem. Instead, we advocate the use of a lazy-greedy approach.



Figure 6: An unfortunate cascading failure in M_2 caused by the greedy mesh growing algorithm applied to the meshes of Figures 4 and 5.

4.5 The Lazy-Greedy Algorithm

In this section, we discuss our lazy-greedy approach to solving the approximate topological matching problem for two quad meshes by using a wavefront "fire-propagation" method.

4.5.1 The Main Idea Behind our Matching Algorithm

The main idea behind this oxymoronic algorithm is to grow out waves of matching quads, as in the greedy algorithm given above as a false start, but to do so in a more relaxed way that helps to avoid the cascading failures that can arise from the straightforward greedy algorithm.

Let us assume that we have done an initial color labeling and have found a set of anchors to begin the matching process from in M_1 and M_2 . The goal of the lazy-greedy algorithm is to incrementally build a mapping function, μ , that matches quads in M_1 to quads in M_2 . Initially, μ maps the two anchor quads in M_1 and M_2 to each other (defining an edge in the dual graph). As we proceed, we track of the set of quads where we can expand the matching function μ to more quads. Let S be the set of currently matched quads, that is, each quad q in M_1 for which we have determined a matching quad, $\mu(q)$. Since we start matching from a seed, at each iteration, S forms a contiguous block of quads in M_1 with a corresponding set of matching quads, $\mu(S)$ in M_2 . Now, let S' be a subset of quads in S on the boundary of the contiguous submesh S, that is, quads that have adjacent unmatched neighbors. When growing the match at each iteration, note that we only need to consider quads that are either vertex or edge adjacent to quads in S' or $\mu(S')$, since the quads that are interior to the contiguous block have no adjacent unmatched quads.

4.5.2 Using a Compatibility Graph for Growing Matching Submeshes

Let A be the set of unmatched quads in M_1 that are vertex or edge adjacent to quads in S', and let B be the set of unmatched quads in M_2 that are vertex or edge adjacent to quads in $\mu(S')$. For each quad q in A, let M(q) be the set of quads in B that could match with q, that is, we include in M(q) each quad r in B such that r is adjacent to a quad $\mu(t)$ with q being adjacent to t in the same way as r and $\mu(t)$ (i.e., across a corresponding vertex or edge adjacency).

Let us create a *compatibility graph* L by defining, for each M(q), a vertex $v_{i,q}$ for each quad in M(q). Note that same quad in B might be listed in different M(q) sets, in which case we create a different vertex in L for each copy of that quad in the different M(q) sets. We say that a vertex $v_{i,q}$ is adjacent to vertex $v_{i,s}$ in L if

- q and s are adjacent across an edge in M_1 .
- The quads, r and t in M₂, corresponding respectively to v_{i,q} and v_{i,s} are compatible in M₂, meaning that if we were to extend μ by mapping q to r and s to t, then the submesh in M₁ consisting of q and s and all their adjacent quads in S' would be consistent (in the topological sense) with the submesh in M₂ consisting of r and t and all their adjacent quads in μ(S').

Note that the graph L consists of paths, isolated vertices, or a cycle, i.e., vertices in L have degree at most 2.

4.5.3 The Lazy-Greedy Heuristic

The *lazy-greedy heuristic* is to extend μ in each iteration by adding the matches defined by a largest connected component (i.e., path or cycle) in L. Note that this is a greedy algorithm in the sense that it is augmenting our match using an optimization criterion that maximizes an objective function. But it is also a lazy algorithm in that it postpones performing a lot of potentially valid matches between quads in M_1 and M_2 just because they didn't belong to the largest connected component in the compatibility graph L. We repeat this lazy-greedy heuristic process until the current version of L contains no vertices. (See Figure 7.)



Figure 7: The lazy-greedy algorithm. The shaded (blue) regions collectively denote S', the currently matched quads. The labeled quads in both meshes correspond to the pairs of quads that share the same adjacent quads in S'. Finally, we show the compatibility graph L constructed from the quads adjacent to S'. Since quads 4,5 and 6 form the largest connected component in L, we match these quads at this iteration.

The benefit of the lazy-greedy approach is that it allows our matching process to match the quads around a small mismatching region even when the mismatch is caused by a compression of quads into individual edges. Such a compression causes the greedy algorithm to immediately march through these bad regions, whereas the lazy-greedy algorithm will only venture into such regions as a last resort. We illustrate the difference this approach makes for the meshes M_1 and M_2 of Figures 4 and 5 in Figure 8.



Figure 8: An isolation of a small mismatching region in M_2 , showing how the lazy-greedy algorithm avoids the unfortunate cascading failure caused by the greedy mesh growing algorithm applied to the meshes of Figures 4 and 5.

5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have implemented our algorithm and have empirically tested the two main claims of our approach on real-world quad meshes from the character database at Walt Disney Animation Studios. The first claim that we tested is the following:

• The skeleton graph constructed by our algorithm significantly compresses quad meshes in a way that preserves essential features.

Table 1 gives an overview of the size of skeleton graphs for a number of the models in the Disney character database.

Model	Original mesh		Skeleton graph		Reduction
	vertices	edges	vertices	edges	(%)
bear	1070	2110	202	393	81%
chic	932	1827	32	56	97%
shirt	3099	6134	518	1015	83%
director	9958	19889	2510	5001	75%
tommy	10281	20530	2496	4973	76%
body	13238	26457	3176	6339	76%
girl	6976	13903	2340	4647	66%

Table 1: Sizes of skeleton graphs for various guad mesh models.

Note that the reduction percentages average around 75%. The main point of this compression is not for storage savings, however, although it could be used for this purpose, since the regions bounded by edges of the skeleton graph are all structured meshes. Instead, we use the skeleton graph to drive our approximate matching process. Another claim of our method is the following:

• The lazy-greedy algorithm runs fast enough for interactive modeling purposes.

The running times for our matching process, applied to the same characters as used for skeleton graph computations are shown in Table 2. The second meshes in these cases correspond to similar models (e.g., two bears), alternate poses, and across symmetries (e.g., in a shirt).

Model	Mesh size		Time
	vertices	edges	seconds
bear	1070	2110	0.04
chic	932	1827	0.02
shirt	3099	6134	0.12
director	9958	19889	0.72
tommy	10281	20530	0.76
body	13238	26457	1.08
girl	6976	13903	0.65

Table 2: Running times for approximate topological matching of various quad mesh models.

Note that all the running times for approximate matching are at a second or less. This is certainly sufficient for interactive modeling purposes. Moreover, it significantly speeds up what used to be a semi-automated process that involved human input of a pair of edges to use as anchors.

Finally, we also make the following claim for our algorithm:

• The lazy-greedy algorithm finds good approximate matches.

Naturally, since we are not aware of any other approximate topological matching algorithms, testing this claim against other algorithms is not possible. Nevertheless, we can prove that our algorithm is successful in many cases. Suppose, for example, that there is a mapping between two simple, connected, well-formed quad meshes M_1 and M_2 such that each connected mismatched region has boundary complexity of at most δ . Suppose further that the medial axis of the matched region for M_1 and M_2 is connected and has a spanning tree T such that each edge of T has a cross-sectional width of at least $\epsilon > 2\delta$. Then our algorithm will succeed in finding a match at least as good as this match.

In addition, a subjective evaluation of the matches our algorithm produced on the Disney character database demonstrated that our algorithm empirically found good matches. For example, we show the quality of the matches produced by our algorithm on the bears and girl models in Figures 9 and 10. In addition, an animation of our algorithm is available for download at the following location:

www.ics.uci.edu/~eppstein/projects/firefront.mov

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this paper, we study the approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes, showing this problem is NP-hard in general. Nevertheless, we provide an efficient heuristic algorithm that works well in practice and provably well for a large class of models that is common in practice.

Directions for future work include the following:

- Our methods are directed at connected models. How hard is it to extend the lazy-greedy algorithm to handle disconnected models?
- Our methods find a single connected region of matching quads. Is there a way to generalize the lazy-greedy approach to handle disconnected matching regions in a way that maintains distance and intuitive correspondence as much as possible?



Figure 9: Approximate Matching; quads in (dark) red denote mismatched regions. Only the ears, which are indeed topologically different, were not matched.



Figure 10: An Approximate Matching Result; quads in (dark) red denote mismatched regions. This example shows how the lazy-greedy algorithm tolerates both modest mis-matches and massive mis-matches, as in Figure 1, unlike approaches based on minimizing edit distance. (The two right hands actually don't match topologically, as the hand of the second model is attached differently.)

Acknowledgments

This research was done, in part, while the first two authors were consultants for Walt Disney Animation Studios. Likewise, this research was done, in part, while the third author was a summer intern for Walt Disney Animation Studios.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. G. Armstrong, D. J. Robinson, R. M. McKeag, T. S. Li, S. J. Bridgett, and R. J. Donaghy. Applications of the medial axis transform in analysis modelling. In NAFEMS, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Reliability of FEM for Engineering Applications, pages 415–426, 1995.
- [2] G. D. Battista, P. Eades, R. Tamassia, and I. G. Tollis. *Graph Drawing: Algorithms for the Visualization of Graphs.* Prentice Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 1998.
- [3] B. G. Baumgart. Winged edge polyhedron representation. Technical Report CS-TR-72-320, Stanford University, 1972.
- [4] M. W. Bern and D. Eppstein. Quadrilateral meshing by circle packing. Int. J. Computational Geometry and Applications, 10(4):347–360, 2000.
- [5] S. Berretti, A. D. Bimbo, and P. Pala. A graph edit distance based on node merging. In *Image and Video Retrieval*, volume 3115 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 464–472, 2004.
- [6] T. D. Blacker and M. B. Stephenson. Paving: a new approach to automated quadrilateral mesh generation. *Int. J. Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 32:811–847, 1991.
- [7] H. Bunke. On a relation between graph edit distance and maximum common subgraph. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 18(8):689–694, 1997.
- [8] S.-W. Chae and J.-H. Jeong. Unstructured surface meshing using operators. In *Proc. 6th Int. Meshing Roundtable*, pages 281–291, 1997.
- [9] D. Cohen-Or, D. Levin, and O. Remez. Progressive compression of arbitrary triangular meshes. In *Proc. 10th IEEE Visualization (VIS '99)*, page 11, 1999.
- [10] D. G. Corneil and C. C. Gotlieb. An efficient algorithm for graph isomorphism. J. ACM, 17(1):51–64, 1970.
- [11] M. de Berg, M. van Kreveld, M. Overmars, and O. Schwarzkopf. *Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2nd edition, 2000.
- [12] H. de Fraysseix, J. Pach, and R. Pollack. Small sets supporting fary embeddings of planar graphs. In STOC '88: Proceedings of the twentieth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 426–433, New York, NY, USA, 1988. ACM Press.
- [13] M. A. Eshera and K. S. Fu. An image understanding system using attributed symbolic representation and inexact graph-matching. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 8(5):604–618, 1986.
- [14] T. Feder and R. Motwani. Clique partitions, graph compression and speeding-up algorithms. In *Proc. 23rd* ACM Symp. Theory of Computing, pages 123–133, 1991.

- [15] P. Ferragina and G. Manzini. Opportunistic data structures with applications. In *Proc. 41st Symp. Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 390–398, 2000.
- M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. W. H. Freeman, 1979.
- [17] M. Grohe. Isomorphism testing for embeddable graphs through definability. In STOC '00: Proceedings of the thirty-second annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 63–72, New York, NY, USA, 2000. ACM Press.
- [18] S. Gurnhold and W. Strasser. Real time compression of triangle mesh connectivity. In Proc. 25th Conf. Computer Graphics and Interactive Technology, pages 133–140, 1998.
- [19] J. E. Hopcroft and J. K. Wong. Linear time algorithm for isomorphism of planar graphs. In *Proc. 6th ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, pages 172–184, 1974.
- [20] A. Khodakovsky, P. Alliez, M. Desbrun, and P. Schröder. Near-optimal connectivity encoding of 2-manifold polygon meshes. *Graph. Models*, 64(3/4):147–168, 2002.
- [21] D. King, J. Rossignac, and A. Szymczak. Connectivity compression for irregular quadrilateral meshes. Technical Report GIT-GVU-99-36, Georgia Institute of Technology, 1999.
- [22] S. Marini, M. Spagnuolo, and B. Falcidieno. From exact to approximate maximum common subgraph. In *Graph-Based Representations in Pattern Recognition*, pages 263–272. Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3434, 2005.
- [23] G. Miller. Isomorphism testing for graphs of bounded genus. In Proc. 12th ACM Symp. Theory of Computing, pages 225–235, 1980.
- [24] G. L. Miller. Graph isomorphism, general remarks. In STOC '77: Proceedings of the ninth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, pages 143–150, New York, NY, USA, 1977. ACM Press.
- [25] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen. *Graphs on Surfaces*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001.
- [26] M. Müller-Hannemann. High quality quadrilateral surface meshing without template restrictions: a new approach based on network flow techniques. In *Proc. 6th Int. Meshing Roundtable*, pages 293–307, 1997.
- [27] N. Neuhaus and H. Bunke. Automatic learning of cost functions for graph edit distance. *Information Science*, 177(1):239–247, 2007.
- [28] D. Nowottny. Quadrilateral mesh generation via geometrically optimized domain decomposition. In *Proc. 6th Int. Meshing Roundtable*, pages 309–320, 1997.
- [29] F. P. Preparata and M. I. Shamos. *Computational Geometry: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1985.
- [30] W. Schnyder. Embedding planar graphs on the grid. In SODA '90: Proceedings of the first annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms, pages 138–148, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1990. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

- [31] T. Schonfeld and P. E. R. Weinerfelt. The automatic generation of quadrilateral multi-block grids by the advancing front technique. In *Numerical grid generation in computational fluid dynamics and related fields; Proceedings* of the 3rd International Conference, Barcelona, Spain, pages 743–754, 1991.
- [32] K. Shimada, J.-H. Liao, and T. Itoh. Quadrilateral meshing with directionality control through the packing of square cells. In *Proc. 7th Int. Meshing Roundtable*, pages 61–75, 1998.
- [33] B. C. Smith and L. A. Rowe. Algorithms for manipulating compressed images. *Computer Graphics and Applications*, 13(5):34–42, 1993.
- [34] J. A. Talbert and A. R. Parkinson. Development of an automatic two-dimensional finite element mesh generator using quadrilateral elements and Bezier curve boundary definition. *Int. J. Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 29:1551–1567, 1991.
- [35] G. Taubin and J. Rossignac. Geometric compression through topological surgery. ACM Trans. Graphics, 17(2):84–115, 1998.
- [36] C. Touma and G. C. Triangle mesh compression. In Proc. Graphics Interface, pages 26–34, 1998.
- [37] D. R. Wood and J. A. Telle. Planar decompositions and the crossing number of graphs with an excluded minor. *New York Journal of Mathematics*, 13:117–146, 2007.
- [38] B.-L. Yeo and B. Liu. Rapid scene analysis on compressed video. *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 5(6):533–544, 1995.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Approximate topological matching of quad meshes

David Eppstein · Michael T. Goodrich · Ethan Kim · Rasmus Tamstorf

Published online: 16 April 2009 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract In this paper, we study the problem of approximate topological matching for quadrilateral meshes, that is, the problem of finding as large a set as possible of matching portions of two quadrilateral meshes. This study is motivated by applications in graphics that involve the modeling of different shapes that have results needing to be merged in order to produce a final unified representation of an object. We show that the problem of producing a maximum approximate topological match of two quad meshes is NP-hard and that its decision version is NP-complete. Given these results, which make an exact solution extremely unlikely, we show that the natural greedy algorithm derived from polynomial-time graph isomorphism can produce poor results, even when it is possible to find matches with only a few nonmatching quads. Nevertheless, we provide a "lazygreedy" algorithm that is guaranteed to find good matches when mismatching portions of mesh are localized. Finally, we provide empirical evidence that this approach produces good matches between similar quad meshes.

D. Eppstein · M.T. Goodrich (⊠) Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3435, USA e-mail: goodrich@acm.org url: http://www.ics.uci.edu/~eppstein url: http://www.ics.uci.edu/~goodrich

E. Kim School of Computer Science, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2A7 e-mail: ethan@cs.mcgill.ca

R. Tamstorf

Walt Disney Animation Studios, 500 S. Buena Vista St., Burbank, CA 91521, USA e-mail: Rasmus.Tamstorf@disney.com **Keywords** Quad mesh · Topological matching · NP-hard · NP-complete · Lazy-greedy heuristic · Isomorphism

1 Introduction

Quadrilateral meshes represent polyhedral surfaces in such a way that each face is a quadrilateral, which is quite useful in computer graphics applications. The usefulness of these meshes comes in part from the fact that rectangular texture images are easily mapped without clipping into quadrilaterals (which we sometimes refer to as "quads"). Thus, quad meshes are desirable for surface modeling.

Quad meshes are also useful in finite-element analysis, where one desires adaptive refinement into regions of interest, since quadrilaterals are easily partitioned into finer quadrilateral grids. Indeed, several mesh generation algorithms, such as that of Schonfeld and Weinerfelt [37] and others [2, 30, 40], begin with a coarse quadrilateral mesh and then refine each quad of interest into a regular grid of sub-quads.

In order to best utilize the time of people working with a given quad mesh, it is common for a single mesh to be used in a number of different processing paths in parallel. For example, a single mesh might be processed simultaneously for texture mapping, feature mapping, finite-element analysis for physical simulation purposes, and morphing for object animation. Unfortunately, the software systems that perform multiple simultaneous tasks on a given mesh M often use different internal representations of M, which in turn result in different representations of M in the output of each task. For example, different mesh processing software systems could be developed by different vendors, each with its own proprietary way of storing of meshes.



Fig. 1 Illustrating the symmetry in anthropomorphic models. Being able to approximately match the left and right sides of these models can speed up painting and animation tasks. Note that these models have similar, but not exact, left-right reflective symmetry

More importantly, many of the computational tasks performed on meshes are likely to slightly change their structure in places. For example, a physical simulation or animation task may introduce a "rip" in a surface, or a modeler may detach a model feature (such as a hand or eyebrow), modify it to reflect a new pose, and reattach it to the original model. In addition, models that possess significant symmetries, such as biological characters and architectural models, often have their texturing and feature mapping tasks performed on a single portion with the desire that this work be mapped to the symmetric portion(s), even if there are a few localized asymmetries to deal with during this mapping process. (See Fig. 1.)

For the above applications, we are interested in matching pairs of quad meshes in a way that is as independent of geometric features as possible. That is, we would like matches that align the topological structure between two given quad meshes as best as possible, matching the orientations of each paired-up vertex and quad in the two meshes. Therefore, we are interested in this paper in the *approximate topological matching* problem, which takes two given quad meshes and finds the best matching between them based on topological information alone. (See Fig. 2.)

1.1 Prior related work

Before presenting our results, let us review prior related work on quad meshes and mesh matching.

1.1.1 Quad mesh generation and remeshing

This is not a paper on mesh generation, but let us nevertheless mention some of the modeling approaches that give rise to quad meshes. There are several quad mesh generation methods that involve starting from a coarse quad mesh and subdividing each coarse quad into a finer structured mesh [2, 30, 37, 40]. Of course, there are also methods that do not create structured submeshes as a refinement step [5, 7, 9, 32, 38]. Although this is not a paper on mesh generation,



Fig. 2 The Approximate Topological Matching Problem. *Quads in (dark) red* denote mismatched regions. In spite of there being major pieces of the first mesh missing from the second, the parts of similarly are still matched (the two right hands actually do not match topologically, as the hand of the second model is attached differently)

we note that the mesh generation process often provides us with properties we can exploit in our algorithms. For example, many mesh generation and remeshing algorithms create large patches of structured submeshes, each having degree 4 (e.g., see [1, 14, 33, 35, 42]). Such submeshes are still relatively common, of course, even if not directly constructed, since, by an easy argument that follows directly from Euler's formula, the vertices in any quad mesh of bounded genus have average degree equal to 4.

1.1.2 Mesh compression

One tool we use in our approximate topological matching algorithm is to compress the original quad mesh so as to reduce the number of candidate starting points for our matching process. The problem of mesh compression has historically been studied in the classic sense of data compression, where one wishes to produce a concise representation of a mesh for the sake of reduced transmission and storage costs [10, 22, 24, 25, 41, 43]. Our reason for using mesh compression instead is based on the desire to speed up the computation time in an algorithm that operates on quad meshes. Thus, our approach actually fits the spirit of other algorithms (e.g., see [18, 19, 39, 45]) that perform data compression so as to improve algorithmic performance.

1.1.3 Graph isomorphism

In the classic graph isomorphism problem, we are given a graph G = (V, E) and a graph H = (W, F) with |V| = |W|and |E| = |F|, and we are asked if there is a mapping $f: V \longrightarrow W$ such that edge e = (v, w) is an edge in E if and only if (f(v), f(w)) is an edge in F. Applied to the mesh matching problem, graph isomorphism corresponds to the problem of finding an exact topological match with no edges, vertices, or faces unmatched between the two input meshes. Much work has been done on the graph isomorphism problem for general classes of graphs (e.g., see [11, 21, 28]), for which it is not known whether graph isomorphism can be solved in polynomial time or if the graph isomorphism problem is NP-complete. For the special case of planar graphs, or more generally for models of bounded genus, isomorphism can be solved in linear time [23, 27], but these algorithms are fairly complex.

The problem of solving graph isomorphism for meshes can be solved in polynomial time, even for models of high genus. The reason is that, in addition to their graph structure, meshes possess structure derived from the fact that they are embedded in manifold surfaces. In particular, such embeddings impose a specific, given ordering on the edges around each vertex, v, which corresponds to a clockwise or counterclockwise listing of the edges incident to v. Thus, given a mapping of an edge in G to an edge in H, it is a simple matter to "grow out" the respective corresponding matching between G and H so long as such matches are possible. That is, there is a simple $O(m^2)$ algorithm for finding an exact topological match between two m-edge meshes (or determining that no such matching exists)-attempt to grow out a possible match between G and H starting from each possible matching of edges in G to a given edge e in H. In practical applications, such algorithms are still too slow, however, and we address practical algorithms for the graph isomorphism problem on quad meshes in a different paper [16]. Our approach in this other paper is based on a technique that is inappropriate for solving the approximate topological matching problem.

1.1.4 Graph edit distance

The approximate topological matching problem is related to the problem of computing the edit distance between two graphs [6, 8, 17, 31]. The concept of graph edit distance was first introduced by Eshera and Fu [17]. It involves the computation of a measure of similarity between two graphs based on the minimum number of edit operations, such as edge insertions/deletions and vertex insertions/deletions, that would be needed to convert one graph into another, which is a problem shown to be NP-hard. Subsequent work has focused on heuristic methods for computing graph edit distance. For example, Berretti et al. [6] describe a distance metric on graphs and apply it to content retrieval for color images, and Neuhaus and Bunke [31] use automatic learning methods to compute the functions for graph edit distance.

The approximate topological matching is not the same as graph edit distance, however. Graph edit distance is concerned with minimizing the number of edit operations to convert one graph to another, whereas approximate topological matching is concerned with maximizing the amount of common matching quads between two quad meshes, even if there are large portions of one of the two meshes that might not match with any part of the other. Thus, the approximate topological matching problem is an adaptation of the maximum common subgraph problem [26] to quad meshes, where we wish to match oriented quad faces as well as vertices and edges. The distinction between edit distance and approximate topological matching is important in object modeling applications, for instance, where one mesh could be an early version of a model, such as a character's face, before new facial features are added, such as eyebrows, eye lashes, and warts, and we want to match the earlier version as best as possible against the current version. In such cases, it is better to ignore the mismatching parts rather than weigh them negatively in an edit distance value.

1.2 Our results

In this paper, we study the approximate topological matching problem for quadrilateral meshes. We show that this problem is NP-hard and that it has a decision version that is NP-complete. Together, these results imply that it is very unlikely that there is a polynomial-time algorithm for approximate topological matching. Thus, we develop a heuristic algorithm for approximate topological mesh matching, based on the use of a skeleton graph that is a well-defined, robust subgraph of the mesh. This skeleton is then used to identify anchors to begin a matching process similar to the way the medial axis is visualized for a polygon (e.g., see [12, 34]). If we imagine that the plane is made of a combustible material and we start a fire starting from each boundary edge of the polygon, then the medial axis is defined by the places where two waves of fire meet. In our case, we apply this approach to quad meshes, viewing the quads as "combustible material" and the anchors as the starting points for our fires. We grow regions in the two input meshes simultaneously, matching similar topological substructures as we go.

Such a fire-propagation approach to matching the two input meshes has an unfortunate complication, however. In particular, if we use the natural greedy approach to propagate matching portions of the wavefront, then this process can lead to poor matches. Specifically, we show that even if one small portion of one mesh is shrunk relative to a portion in the other, this greedy algorithm can produce globally poor matches. Nevertheless, we show that our fire-growing approach to approximate matching can be made to work effectively even in these cases, by growing the wavefronts in a "lazy-greedy" fashion. In addition, we provide experimental results that show that the lazy-greedy algorithm can produce good matches quickly in practice.

2 Preliminaries

Before we discuss our results, let us give some preliminary definitions and observations about quadrilateral meshes.

2.1 The topology of quad meshes

Any graph drawn on the sphere S_0 in three-dimensional space partitions the surface of S_0 into cells such that each is homeomorphic to a disk (we will always assume in this paper that graphs are drawn without edge crossings). Each such cell is called a *face* in the embedding. Adding g "handles" to S_0 gives the surface S_g , which is said to have genus g. For example, a traditional coffee cup is of genus 1. Likewise, the dog toy shown in Fig. 3 has genus 16.

A *cellular embedding* of a graph on S_g is a drawing that partitions S_g into cells such that each is homeomorphic to a disk. We define a *quadrilateral mesh* to be a cellular embedding of a graph G = (V, E) onto a surface S_g such that each face is a quadrilateral. We say that the *genus* of the mesh is g in this case. We therefore view a quad mesh as a triple (V, E, Q) where V is a set of *vertices*, E is a set of *edges*, and Q is a set of *quadrilaterals*. In addition, we assume that quad meshes are represented with a data structure, like the "winged edge" structure [4], that supports the following operations:

- List the incident edges around a given vertex (in clockwise or counter-clockwise order) in time proportional to the degree of that vertex.
- List the bounding edges around a given face (in clockwise or counter-clockwise order) in time proportional to the size of that face.
- List the two vertices that are the endpoints of a given edge in constant time.

Fig. 3 A dog toy, which has genus 16



The simplest form of quad mesh is a *structured mesh*, where every vertex has degree four. The average degree of vertices in a bounded-genus quad mesh is 4, and it is common for the majority of vertices in a quad mesh to have degree 4. For this reason, if a vertex in a quad mesh is an interior vertex with degree different than 4, or an exterior (boundary) vertex with degree different than 3, then we refer to that vertex as an *extraordinary* vertex.

2.2 The size of a quad mesh

Traditionally, an algorithm operating on a graph G is characterized in terms of n = |V|, the number of vertices of G, and m = |E|, the number of edges in G. We have these measures in quad mesh algorithms as well, but we also have q = |Q|, the number of quads, so it is useful to relate these quantities.

Observation 2.1 In a quad mesh with m edges and q faces, $2q \le m \le 4q$.

Proof If we sum up the number of edges on every face, we will count each edge at least once and at most twice. Since each face is a quadrilateral, $4q \le 2m$ and $m \le 4q$.

Observation 2.2 *The number of edges and faces in a quad mesh can be arbitrarily larger than the number of vertices.*

Proof We can place two vertices at opposite poles of a sphere and add as many edges as we like joining them. Now remove the sphere and enlarge each edge to be a thin tube. Next, take each original vertex and split into two points separated by the width of a tube, with one on top and one on the bottom. This creates a shape similar to the dog toy shown in Fig. 3. Now, for each tube, run an edge between the two top vertices, an edge between the two bottom vertices, and an edge joining top to bottom at each pole. This creates a quad mesh with four vertices and an arbitrary number of faces and edges. \Box

Although it is not uncommon in the solid modeling literature to allow for such multiple edges and even self loops in the graph defined by a quadrilateral mesh, we will restrict ourselves in this paper to *simple* meshes, where we disallow multiple edges (between the same pair of vertices) and self loops. Likewise, we disallow multiple edges and self loops in the *dual graph*, which is formed by placing a vertex in each quad and joining two quads Q and R with an edge any time Q and R have an edge of the mesh in common. Likewise, we require that the mesh be *well formed*, meaning that it satisfy the following:

1. For each vertex v, the set of quads containing v is connected in the dual graph.

- 2. The *boundary* of a quadrilateral mesh M consists of all edges of E that belong to exactly one quadrilateral in M and all vertices incident to an edge of this type. For every cyclic portion C of the boundary of M on S_g (that is, a "hole" in the mesh), the interior of C is homeomorphic to a disk. That is, each hole in M is *contractible*.
- 3. Every edge in M is adjacent to at least one and at most two quadrilateral faces of M.
- 4. Any two quadrilaterals in Q intersect in a single edge, a single vertex, or the empty set.

Observation 2.3 In a simple, connected, well-formed quadrilateral mesh M of genus g, with n vertices and m edges,

 $m \le 2n + 4g - 4.$

Proof Since M is a simple, cellularly embedded graph in S_g , the Euler characteristic implies that

n-m+q=2-2g.

Specifically, the Euler characteristic (which is also known as "Euler's formula" or the "Euler–Poincaré characteristic") states that, in such embeddings, the number of vertices minus the number of edges plus the number of faces is equal to 2 - 2g. That is, in our case, m = n + q + 2g - 2. By Observation 2.1, $q \le m/2$. Thus, $m \le 2n + 4g - 4$.

In almost all practical applications of quadrilateral meshes, the genus g of a given mesh is bounded by constant and is almost certainly O(n). Thus, the above lemma implies that in almost every practical application using a mesh M with n vertices and m edges, m is O(n). Thus, for example, the time complexity of the simple wave-growing exact graph isomorphism algorithm on such meshes is $O(n^2)$. We also have the following.

Lemma 2.1 In a simple, connected, well-formed quadrilateral mesh M of genus g, with n vertices and m edges,

$$m \le \left(\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}g} + 2\right)n - 4.$$

Proof The proof follows that of a similar lemma of Wood and Telle [44] but is adapted to quad meshes. From Observation 2.3 we know that

 $m \le 2n + 4g - 4.$

So we need to show that $4g \le (\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}g})n$. That is, we need to show that $g \le n^2/12$. This follows from the fact that *M* is simple and the complete graph on *n* vertices, K_n , has genus at most $n^2/12$ (e.g., see [29]).

3 On the difficulty of approximate topological matching of quad meshes

As mentioned above, the problem of finding a best approximate topological match between two quad meshes has several uses in object representation and rendering applications. Unfortunately, as we show in this section, the problem of finding an optimal approximate topological match is NP-hard.

In order to be precise, let us formalize the approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes. Suppose that we are given two quadrilateral meshes, M_1 and M_2 . A matching submesh S_1 of M_1 , with respect to M_2 , is a connected set of quads in M_1 that is mapped one-to-one to a connected set of quads in M_2 by a function μ that maps quads in S_1 to quads in M_2 such that q_1 and q_2 are adjacent in S_1 if and only if $\mu(q_1)$ and $\mu(q_2)$ are adjacent in M_2 (using adjacency across edge boundaries). The approximate topological matching problem is to find a matching submesh S_1 of M_1 , with respect to M_2 , and the corresponding mapping function μ such that S_1 has the largest number of quads over all such submeshes. Unfortunately, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1 *The approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes is NP-hard.*

Proof We will give a reduction from the known NPcomplete problem of determining if a given cubic planar graph is Hamiltonian [20]. Suppose then that we are given an n-vertex cubic planar graph G as input, that is, a graph G that has degree 3 and which can be drawn in the plane without crossings. Our proof is based on showing that we can construct two meshes M_1 and M_2 in polynomial time such that all but n quads of M_1 can be matched with part of M_2 if and only if G is Hamiltonian, that is, G contains as a cycle that visits each vertex in G exactly once. We begin our construction by using any existing polynomial-time method (e.g., see [3, 13, 36]) to produce an embedding of G in an $O(n) \times O(n)$ integer grid, where n is the number of vertices in G. This embedding allows us to associate integer coordinates in the plane to the vertices of G, from which we will build a quad mesh, M_2 . Before we perform this construction, however, let us first build the mesh M_1 that we want to match completely to M_2 .

In particular, let *C* be a simple cycle with *n* vertices, say, embedded at regular intervals around the boundary of a sufficiently large circle in the plane (separate from the grid *G* is embedded in). We construct a mesh M_1 from *C* by expanding each edge of *C* into a connected sequence of four quads, linked in a "chain," and expanding each vertex of *C* according to the replacement submesh of four quads shown in Fig. 4a. That is, if we have an edge (u, v) in *C* connecting



Fig. 4 Gadgets used to prove that approximate topological matching is NP-hard: (a) the vertex replacement submesh for C, (b) the vertex replacement submesh for G

u to *v* that is followed by an edge (v, w), we replace (u, v) by a chain of four quads that connect to *v*'s four quads as in the lower-right part of Fig. 4a, and we have the chain of four quads for (v, w) connected as in the upper-left part of Fig. 4a.

We convert G into a second quad mesh M_2 by expanding each edge into a chain of four quads, as in our construction of M_1 , but we connect them to vertices in a different way. Specifically, each vertex in G has degree 3, so we instead connect each edge chain of four quads according to the replacement mesh shown in Fig. 4b. The dashed lines in the figure show all the possible ways that a maximum number of quads in a vertex submesh from M_1 can match a maximum number of quads in the vertex submesh in M_2 . Namely, a vertex submesh of four quads in M_1 can match at most three quads in a vertex submesh M_2 . That is, all but one of the quads in a vertex submesh of M_1 can match in a vertex submesh of M_2 . Also, note that the same cannot be said of the submeshes of M_1 associated with edges of C. Namely, note that since each edge in C is expanded into a connected chain of four quads, the quads in an edge submesh of M_1 can match at most two quads in a vertex submesh of M_2 . In other words, to get the largest number of matching quads between M_1 and M_2 , we need to match vertex submeshes in M_1 to vertex submeshes in M_2 .

So we have yet to show that G is Hamiltonian if and only if all but n of the quads in M_1 can be matched with quads in M_2 . Suppose that G is Hamiltonian. That is, C is a subgraph of G; hence, we can match each vertex submesh of M_1 with a vertex submesh of M_2 , using the cycle C as a guide on how to similarly match each edge submesh of M_1 with an edge submesh of M_2 , again, using the embedding of C in G as a guide. Then there is a topological match of M_1 in M_2 that pairs up all but n quads from M_1 , i.e., the number of matched quads between M_1 and M_2 is 7n.

Suppose, on the other hand, that there is a match of M_1 and M_2 that matches 7n quads, that is, all but n quads from M_1 . As we have noted above, the only way this can occur is if the edge submeshes of M_1 match edge submeshes of M_2 and three quads in each vertex submesh of M_1 match inside a vertex submesh of M_2 . Thus, C is a subgraph of G, that is, G is Hamiltonian. This completes the proof.

In addition to the optimization problem of finding the best approximate topological match between two quadrilateral meshes, we can create a *decision version* of the problem:

Given two quad meshes, M_1 and M_2 , and an integer parameter, K, is there a topological match of meshes from M_1 to M_2 such that the number of matched quads is at least K?

This decision problem is also difficult.

Theorem 3.2 The decision version of the approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes is NP-complete.

Proof To show that this decision version is NP-compete, we need to show it is in NP and that it is NP-hard. First, to see that it is in NP, note that if we nondeterministically guess an assignment of quads in M_1 to M_2 , we can verify in polynomial time that this assignment satisfies the connectivity requirements to be a topological match and that the number of matching quads is at least K. Thus, this decision version of approximate topological matching is in NP. To show that it is NP-hard, all we need to do is repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1 using K = 7n.

4 A heuristic algorithm for approximate topological matching

Given that the approximate topological matching problem is NP-hard and that it has a decision version that is NPcomplete, it is very unlikely that there is an efficient algorithm for solving it exactly. Thus, let us discuss heuristic approaches. 4.1 Identifying anchors via color assignment

Let us begin with the starting point for our heuristic algorithm, the identification of good anchors that can seed the process of matching pairs of quads in the input meshes M_1 and M_2 , that is, extraordinary vertices in M_1 and M_2 that have relatively distinctive neighborhoods. Note: if there are no extraordinary vertices at all (e.g., if M_1 and M_2 are tori), then we pick an arbitrary pair of vertices in M_1 and M_2 as anchors.

In order to find a good set of anchors in the general case, we apply a few iterations of the Weisfeiler and Leman (WL) algorithm for exact graph isomorphism (e.g., see [21]). Recall that in the WL algorithm, we initially label each vertex with a label associated with its degree.¹ Then, each vertex is labeled by a string of its neighbors' labels in an order that appears in the topological embedding. In turning the cyclic ordering into a linear ordering, we pick the one that is lexicographically minimum. Then we let these strings be the new labels of the vertices (which we can renormalize to be the integers from 1 to n with a simple radix sort). Algorithm 1 gives a pseudocode for this procedure, which views the vertex labels as "colors."

Observe that the second loop in Algorithm 1 can be repeated to refine the color labels of vertices. This repetition should be done until we reach a reasonable stopping condition. For example, we used the stopping condition of repeating until we reach a set upper bound, k, on the number of iterations or until at least one pair of uniquely labeled vertices are found, one from each mesh. If we repeat this loop i times, the label of each vertex u will contain information about the vertices that are within distance i from u. The running time of this second loop is 2m = O(m), which is O(n) in the case of planar meshes or meshes of at most linear genus, by Observation 2.3.

Algorithm 1 Algorithm for ColorGraph		
foreach vertex $u \in M$ do		
tmpColor[u] = deg(u);		
end		
foreach $u \in M$ do		
$\operatorname{Color}[u] = ();$		
foreach $v \in neighbor(u)$ do		
Color[u] = Color[u] + tmpColor[v];		
end		
Color[u] = Lexicographical minimum ordering of		
Color[u];		
end		
return the set of vertices with unique color label;		

¹Not only the degree of each vertex can serve as the seed for labels; we may also choose to use the edge weights in the compressed meshes. In order to do so, we can label each edge by number of edges contracted while compressing the meshes.

777

The final step of the algorithm for identifying seeds to initiate mesh-matching growth from is that of finding corresponding anchors from the labeled compressed meshes. In order to find such seeds, we first match the rarest (hopefully unique) labeled vertices returned from the two compressed meshes and then look for matching neighbors of the two matched vertices. Again, for most meshes, this process takes O(n) time in the worst case, by Observation 2.3 or Lemma 2.1.

4.2 Skeleton graphs: compressing meshes for improved anchor finding

As noted above, we are interested in finding rare or even uniquely labeled anchors, so as to limit the number of possible candidate starting points for growing matching sets of quads between the two input meshes. Thus, it makes intuitive sense that we should concentrate on extraordinary vertices. In order to focus on the adjacencies between these vertices, we apply a compression scheme that focuses on extraordinary vertices and in most cases reduces the size of the graph we must deal with.

4.3 Particle shooting

The idea for constructing this compressed skeleton graph inside each mesh is quite simple: we imagine that we shoot a particle out along every possible edge going out of each extraordinary vertex. These particles travel separately along the edges going out from extraordinary vertices. When a particle enters a normal vertex, it continues through that vertex and leaves out the opposite side (unless this is a boundary vertex and there is no edge on the other side). In propagating these fictitious particles in this way we trace out a subgraph in each input mesh, M_1 and M_2 . We let the particles continue to move, tracing out the compressed graph we are going to use for color label assignment, until each such particle reaches another extraordinary vertex (which is a very common occurrence given the way that people build quad meshes in practice) or the particle reaches a boundary edge. We then perform our anchor-finding procedure on this skeleton graph. (See Fig. 5.)

4.4 A false start: the greedy algorithm

Given a set of *anchors* to begin our matching process, perhaps the most natural heuristic algorithm for solving the approximate topological matching problem is to start with a seed pair of matching quads, starting at some candidate anchors, and grow out matching meshes from this starting point.

The natural greedy algorithm that is based on this approach would be to grow out the matching set of quads in



Fig. 5 Anchor finding in a simple quad mesh, M_1 . This mesh has five extraordinary vertices consisting of the four corners and the vertex marked "start." The vertex marked "start" is the best candidate for an anchor in this mesh, since the neighborhood structure of the other four extraordinary vertices are similar to each another. The edges traversed in the "particle-shooting" process are shown bold

waves, adding as many quads as possible so as to satisfy the adjacency constraint for quads (e.g., by restricting our attention to quads that are adjacent across an edge or vertex). Unfortunately, this greedy approach suffers from a serious drawback, which is highlighted by a simple example.

Suppose that we are given two similar meshes, M_1 and M_2 , as, for example, shown in Figs. 5 and 6, such that M_2 is an exact match for M_1 except that some small group of quads in M_2 is compressed into a set of edges.

Suppose further that we grow out sets of matching quads in M_1 and M_2 starting from some anchor point, using the greedy approach of matching as many quads as possible with each wavefront propagation. When this propagating wavefront reaches the set of compressed quads, it will correctly match long sets of quads on each side of the mismatching portion. But it will also incorrectly match as many quads as possible across the compressed edges as well. Unfortunately, this sets off a cascading failure, as the wavefront that propagated across the compressed quads will be out of phase with the (correct) sets of quads that are being matched as they go around the compressed region. The cascade continues because the incorrectly matched quads are being "grown" ahead of the correctly matching quads. Thus, the correctly matching quads never have a chance to "catch up" to this wave, and this bad behavior can continue cascading across the entire mesh. This unfortunate growth pattern



Fig. 6 A candidate quad mesh, M_2 . Note that M_2 is an exact match for the quad mesh M_1 from 5 except that three quads in the middle are compressed into individual edges in M_2



Fig. 7 An unfortunate cascading failure in M_2 caused by the greedy mesh growing algorithm applied to the meshes of Figs. 5 and 6

is illustrated for the meshes M_1 and M_2 of Figs. 5 and 6 in Fig. 7.

Thus, we do not recommend that the greedy algorithm be used as a heuristic for solving the approximate topological matching problem. Instead, we advocate the use of a lazygreedy approach.

4.5 The lazy-greedy algorithm

In this section, we discuss our lazy-greedy approach to solving the approximate topological matching problem for two quad meshes by using a wavefront "fire-propagation" method.

4.5.1 The main idea behind our matching algorithm

The main idea behind this oxymoronic algorithm is to grow out waves of matching quads, as in the greedy algorithm given above as a false start, but to do so in a more relaxed way that helps to avoid the cascading failures that can arise from the straightforward greedy algorithm.

Let us assume that we have done an initial color labeling and have found a set of anchors to begin the matching process from in M_1 and M_2 . The goal of the lazy-greedy algorithm is to incrementally build a mapping function, μ , that matches quads in M_1 to quads in M_2 . Initially, μ maps the two anchor quads in M_1 and M_2 to each other (defining an edge in the dual graph). As we proceed, we track of the set of quads where we can expand the matching function μ to more quads. Let S be the set of currently matched quads, that is, all quads q in M_1 for which we have determined a matching quad, $\mu(q)$. Since we start matching from a seed, at each iteration, S forms a contiguous block of quads in M_1 with a corresponding set of matching quads, $\mu(S)$ in M_2 . Now, let S' be a subset of quads in S on the boundary of the contiguous submesh S, that is, quads that have adjacent unmatched neighbors. When growing the match at each iteration, note that we only need to consider quads that are either vertex or edge adjacent to quads in S' or $\mu(S')$, since the quads that are interior to the contiguous block have no adjacent unmatched quads.

4.5.2 Using a compatibility graph for growing matching submeshes

Let A be the set of unmatched quads in M_1 that are vertex or edge adjacent to quads in S', and let B be the set of unmatched quads in M_2 that are vertex or edge adjacent to quads in $\mu(S')$. For each quad q in A, let M(q) be the set of quads in B that could match with q, that is, we include in M(q) each quad r in B such that r is adjacent to a quad $\mu(t)$ with q being adjacent to t in the same way as r and $\mu(t)$ (i.e., across a corresponding vertex or edge adjacency).

Let us create a *compatibility graph* L by defining, for each M(q), a vertex $v_{i,q}$ for each quad in M(q). Note that same quad in B might be listed in different M(q) sets, in

which case we create a different vertex in L for each copy of that quad in the different M(q) sets. We say that a vertex $v_{i,q}$ is adjacent to vertex $v_{i,s}$ in L if

- -q and s are adjacent across an edge in M_1 .
- The quads, r and t in M_2 , corresponding respectively to $v_{i,q}$ and $v_{i,s}$ are *compatible* in M_2 , meaning that if we were to extend μ by mapping q to r and s to t, then the submesh in M_1 consisting of q and s and all their adjacent quads in S' would be consistent (in the topological sense) with the submesh in M_2 consisting of r and t and all their adjacent quads in $\mu(S')$.

Note that the graph L consists of paths, isolated vertices, or a cycle, i.e., vertices in L have degree at most 2.

4.5.3 The lazy-greedy heuristic

The *lazy-greedy heuristic* is to extend μ in each iteration by adding the matches defined by a largest connected component (i.e., path or cycle) in *L*. Note that this is a greedy algorithm in the sense that it is augmenting our match using an optimization criterion that maximizes an objective function. But it is also a lazy algorithm in that it postpones performing a lot of potentially valid matches between quads in M_1 and M_2 just because they did not belong to the largest connected component in the compatibility graph *L*. We repeat this lazy-greedy heuristic process until the current version of *L* contains no vertices. (See Fig. 8.)

The benefit of the lazy-greedy approach is that it allows our matching process to match the quads around a small mismatching region even when the mismatch is caused by a compression of quads into individual edges. Such a compression causes the greedy algorithm to immediately march through these bad regions, whereas the lazy-greedy algorithm will only venture into such regions as a last resort. We



Fig. 8 The lazy-greedy algorithm. The *shaded* (*blue*) *regions* collectively denote S', the currently matched quads. The labeled quads in both meshes correspond to the pairs of quads that share the same adjacent quads in S'. Finally, we show the compatibility graph L constructed from the quads adjacent to S'. Since quads 4, 5, and 6 form the largest connected component in L, we match these quads at this iteration

illustrate the difference this approach makes for the meshes M_1 and M_2 of Figs. 5 and 6 in Fig. 9.

5 Experimental results

We have empirically tested the two main claims of our approach on real-world meshes from the character database at Walt Disney Animation Studios. The first claim that we tested is the following:

 The skeleton graph constructed by our algorithm significantly compresses quad meshes in a way that preserves essential features.



Fig. 9 An isolation of a small mismatching region in M_2 , showing how the lazy-greedy algorithm avoids the unfortunate cascading failure caused by the greedy mesh growing algorithm applied to the meshes of Figs. 5 and 6

 Table 1
 Sizes of skeleton graphs for various quad mesh models

Table 1 gives an overview of the size of skeleton graphs for a number of the models in the Disney character database.

Note that the reduction percentages average around 75%, and that they range from a low of 66% for the girl of Fig. 12 and a high of 97% for the chick of Fig. 10. The main point of this compression is not for storage savings, however, although it could be used for this purpose, since the regions bounded by edges of the skeleton graph are all structured meshes. Instead, we use the skeleton graph to drive our approximate matching process.

Another claim of our method is the following:

 The lazy-greedy algorithm runs fast enough for interactive modeling purposes.

The running times for our matching process, applied to the same characters as used for skeleton graph computations are shown in Table 2. Note that the average running time for this set of models is less than half a second and that it corresponds proportionally to the mesh sizes. The second meshes in these cases correspond to similar models (e.g., two bears), alternate poses, and across symmetries (e.g., in a shirt).

Note that all the running times for approximate matching are at a second or less. This is certainly sufficient for interactive modeling purposes. Moreover, it significantly speeds



Fig. 10 A compressed mesh; edges in red denote the compressed mesh

Model	Original mesh		Skeleton graph		Reduction
	Vertices	Edges	Vertices	Edges	(%)
bear	1070	2110	202	393	81%
chick	932	1827	32	56	97%
shirt	3099	6134	518	1015	83%
director	9958	19889	2510	5001	75%
tommy	10281	20530	2496	4973	76%
body	13238	26457	3176	6339	76%
girl	6976	13903	2340	4647	66%

 Table 2
 Running times for approximate topological matching of various quad mesh models

Model	Mesh size	Time		
	Vertices	Edges	(seconds)	
bear	1070	2110	0.04	
chick	932	1827	0.02	
shirt	3099	6134	0.12	
director	9958	19889	0.72	
tommy	10281	20530	0.76	
body	13238	26457	1.08	
girl	6976	13903	0.65	



Fig. 11 Approximate matching; *quads in (dark) red* denote mismatched regions. Only the ears, which are indeed topologically different, were not matched

up what used to be a semi-automated process that involved human input of a pair of edges to use as anchors.

Finally, we also make the following claim for our algorithm:

The lazy-greedy algorithm finds good approximate matches.

Naturally, since we are not aware of any other approximate topological matching algorithms, testing this claim against other algorithms is not possible. Nevertheless, we can prove that our algorithm is successful in many cases. Suppose, for example, that there is a mapping between two simple, connected, well-formed quad meshes M_1 and M_2 such that each connected mismatched region has boundary complexity of at most δ . Suppose further that the medial axis of the matched region for M_1 and M_2 is connected and has a spanning tree T such that each edge of T has a cross-sectional width of at least $\epsilon > 2\delta$. Then our algorithm will succeed in finding a match at least as good as this match.



Fig. 12 An approximate matching result; *quads in (dark) red* denote mismatched regions. This example shows how the lazy-greedy algorithm tolerates both modest mismatches and massive mismatches, as in Fig. 2, unlike approaches based on minimizing edit distance. (The two right hands actually do not match topologically, as the hand of the second model is attached differently)

In addition, a subjective evaluation of the matches our algorithm produced on the Disney character database demonstrated that our algorithm empirically found good matches. For example, we show the quality of the matches produced by our algorithm on the bears and girl models in Figs. 11 and 12. In addition, an animation of our algorithm is available for download at the following location:

www.ics.uci.edu/~eppstein/projects/firefront.mov

6 Conclusion and future directions

In this paper, we study the approximate topological matching problem for quad meshes, showing that this problem is NP-hard in general. Nevertheless, we provide an efficient heuristic algorithm that works well in practice and provably well for a large class of models that is common in practice.

Directions for future work include the following:

– Our methods are directed at connected models. How hard is it to extend the lazy-greedy algorithm to handle disconnected models? – Our methods find a single connected region of matching quads. Is there a way to generalize the lazy-greedy approach to handle disconnected matching regions in a way that maintains distance and intuitive correspondence as much as possible?

Acknowledgements This research was done while the first two authors were consultants for Walt Disney Animation Studios. Likewise, this research was done while the third author was a summer intern for Walt Disney Animation Studios. This paper appeared in preliminary form as [15] in IEEE Int. Conf. on Shape Modeling and Applications (SMI) 2008.

References

- Alliez, P., Cohen-Steiner, D., Devillers, O., Lévy, B., Desbrun, M.: Anisotropic polygonal remeshing. In: SIGGRAPH'03: ACM SIG-GRAPH 2003 Papers, New York, NY, USA, pp. 485–493. ACM, New York (2003)
- Armstrong, C.G., Robinson, D.J., McKeag, R.M., Li, T.S., Bridgett, S.J., Donaghy, R.J.: Applications of the medial axis transform in analysis modelling. In: NAFEMS, Proc. 5th Int. Conf. Reliability of FEM for Engineering Applications, pp. 415–426 (1995)
- Battista, G.D., Eades, P., Tamassia, R., Tollis, I.G.: Graph Drawing: Algorithms for the Visualization of Graphs. Prentice-Hall PTR, Upper Saddle River (1998)
- 4. Baumgart, B.G.: Winged edge polyhedron representation. Technical Report CS-TR-72-320, Stanford University (1972)
- Bern, M.W., Eppstein, D.: Quadrilateral meshing by circle packing. Int. J. Comput. Geom. Appl. 10(4), 347–360 (2000)
- Berretti, S., Bimbo, A.D., Pala, P.: A graph edit distance based on node merging. In: Image and Video Retrieval. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3115, pp. 464–472 (2004)
- Blacker, T.D., Stephenson, M.B.: Paving: a new approach to automated quadrilateral mesh generation. Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng. 32, 811–847 (1991)
- Bunke, H.: On a relation between graph edit distance and maximum common subgraph. Pattern Recognit. Lett. 18(8), 689–694 (1997)
- Chae, S.-W., Jeong, J.-H.: Unstructured surface meshing using operators. In: Proc. 6th Int. Meshing Roundtable, pp. 281–291 (1997)
- Cohen-Or, D., Levin, D., Remez, O.: Progressive compression of arbitrary triangular meshes. In: Proc. 10th IEEE Visualization (VIS'99), p. 11 (1999)
- Corneil, D.G., Gotlieb, C.C.: An efficient algorithm for graph isomorphism. J. ACM 17(1), 51–64 (1970)
- de Berg, M., van Kreveld, M., Overmars, M., Schwarzkopf, O.: Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- de Fraysseix, H., Pach, J., Pollack, R.: Small sets supporting Fáry embeddings of planar graphs. In: STOC'88: Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, New York, NY, USA, pp. 426–433. ACM Press, New York (1988)
- Dong, S., Bremer, P.-T., Garland, M., Pascucci, V., Hart, J.C.: Spectral surface quadrangulation. In: SIGGRAPH '06: ACM SIG-GRAPH 2006 Papers, New York, NY, USA, pp. 1057–1066. ACM, New York (2006)
- Eppstein, D., Goodrich, M.T., Kim, E., Tamstorf, R.: Approximate topological matching of quadrilateral meshes. In: IEEE Int. Conf. on Shape Modeling and Applications (SMI), pp. 83–92 (2008)
- Eppstein, D., Goodrich, M.T., Kim, E., Tamstorf, R.: Motorcycle graphs: canonical quad mesh partitioning. Comput. Graph. Forum 27(5), 1477–1486 (2008)

- Eshera, M.A., Fu, K.S.: An image understanding system using attributed symbolic representation and inexact graph-matching. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 8(5), 604–618 (1986)
- Feder, T., Motwani, R.: Clique partitions, graph compression and speeding-up algorithms. In: Proc. 23rd ACM Symp. Theory of Computing, pp. 123–133 (1991)
- Ferragina, P., Manzini, G.: Opportunistic data structures with applications. In: Proc. 41st Symp. Foundations of Computer Science, pp. 390–398 (2000)
- Garey, M.R., Johnson, D.S.: Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. W.H. Freeman, New York (1979)
- Grohe, M.: Isomorphism testing for embeddable graphs through definability. In: STOC'00: Proceedings of the Thirty-Second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, New York, NY, USA, pp. 63–72. ACM Press, New York (2000)
- Gurnhold, S., Strasser, W.: Real time compression of triangle mesh connectivity. In: Proc. 25th Conf. Computer Graphics and Interactive Technology, pp. 133–140 (1998)
- Hopcroft, J.E., Wong, J.K.: Linear time algorithm for isomorphism of planar graphs. In: Proc. 6th ACM Symp. Theory of Computing, pp. 172–184 (1974)
- Khodakovsky, A., Alliez, P., Desbrun, M., Schröder, P.: Nearoptimal connectivity encoding of 2-manifold polygon meshes. Graph. Models 64(3/4), 147–168 (2002)
- King, D., Rossignac, J., Szymczak, A.: Connectivity compression for irregular quadrilateral meshes. Technical Report GIT-GVU-99-36, Georgia Institute of Technology (1999)
- Marini, S., Spagnuolo, M., Falcidieno, B.: From exact to approximate maximum common subgraph. In: Graph-Based Representations in Pattern Recognition. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3434, pp. 263–272. Springer, Berlin (2005)
- Miller, G.: Isomorphism testing for graphs of bounded genus. In: Proc. 12th ACM Symp. Theory of Computing, pp. 225–235 (1980)
- Miller, G.L.: Graph isomorphism, general remarks. In: STOC'77: Proceedings of the Ninth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, New York, NY, USA, pp. 143–150. ACM Press, New York (1977)
- 29. Mohar, B., Thomassen, C.: Graphs on Surfaces. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (2001)
- Müller-Hannemann, M.: High quality quadrilateral surface meshing without template restrictions: a new approach based on network flow techniques. In: Proc. 6th Int. Meshing Roundtable, pp. 293–307 (1997)
- Neuhaus, N., Bunke, H.: Automatic learning of cost functions for graph edit distance. Inf. Sci. 177(1), 239–247 (2007)
- Nowottny, D.: Quadrilateral mesh generation via geometrically optimized domain decomposition. In: Proc. 6th Int. Meshing Roundtable, pp. 309–320 (1997)
- Palacios, J., Zhang, E.: Rotational symmetry field design on surfaces. ACM Trans. Graph. 26(3), 55 (2007)
- Preparata, F.P., Shamos, M.I.: Computational Geometry: An Introduction. Springer, New York (1985)
- Ray, N., Li, W.C., Lévy, B., Sheffer, A., Alliez, P.: Periodic global parameterization. ACM Trans. Graph. 25(4), 1460–1485 (2006)
- Schnyder, W.: Embedding planar graphs on the grid. In: SODA'90: Proceedings of the First Annual ACM–SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, Philadelphia, PA, USA, pp. 138–148. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia (1990)
- 37. Schonfeld, T., Weinerfelt, P.E.R.: The automatic generation of quadrilateral multi-block grids by the advancing front technique. In: Numerical Grid Generation in Computational Fluid Dynamics and Related Fields; Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 743–754 (1991)

- Shimada, K., Liao, J.-H., Itoh, T.: Quadrilateral meshing with directionality control through the packing of square cells. In: Proc. 7th Int. Meshing Roundtable, pp. 61–75 (1998)
- Smith, B.C., Rowe, L.A.: Algorithms for manipulating compressed images. Comput. Graph. Appl. 13(5), 34–42 (1993)
- Talbert, J.A., Parkinson, A.R.: Development of an automatic twodimensional finite element mesh generator using quadrilateral elements and Bezier curve boundary definition. Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng. 29, 1551–1567 (1991)
- Taubin, G., Rossignac, J.: Geometric compression through topological surgery. ACM Trans. Graph. 17(2), 84–115 (1998)
- 42. Tong, Y., Alliez, P., Cohen-Steiner, D., Desbrun, M.: Designing quadrangulations with discrete harmonic forms. In: SGP'06: Proceedings of the Fourth Eurographics Symposium on Geometry Processing, Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland, pp. 201–210. Switzerland Eurographics Association (2006)
- Touma, C., G.C.: Triangle mesh compression. In: Proc. Graphics Interface, pp. 26–34 (1998)
- Wood, D.R., Telle, J.A.: Planar decompositions and the crossing number of graphs with an excluded minor. N. Y. J. Math. 13, 117– 146 (2007)
- Yeo, B.-L., Liu, B.: Rapid scene analysis on compressed video. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol. 5(6), 533–544 (1995)





at algorithms for solving large-scale problems motivated from information assurance and security, the Internet, information visualization, and geometric computing.





Ethan Kim is a Ph.D. student at School of Computer Science, McGill University. His research interests lie in discrete mathematics and approximation algorithms, particularly with geometric graphs, and is now working on graph-theoretic problems from computational biology. He is a recipient of NSERC Canada Graduate Award (2006) and Postgraduate Award (2007). His work on this paper was done while he was visiting Walt Disney Feature Animation as a graduate research associate.

Rasmus Tamstorf is a research scientist at Walt Disney Animation Studios. Over the past 10 years at Disney he has worked on a variety of projects including geometrical problems in rendering, a production pipeline based on subdivision surfaces, and deformation algorithms for character animation. He is currently working on various aspects of cloth simulation and whatever it takes to create the Disney magic. Rasmus has a MS EE degree from the Technical University

of Denmark and film credits on *Tarzan*, *Dinosaurs*, *Lilo & Stitch*, and *Chicken Little*, among others.